

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	O. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/687,688	10/17/2003	Ulrich H. Petri	043336-9015-00	5-00 9361	
7590 09/29/2004		EXAMINER			
	& Friedrich LLP	LE, TAN			
100 East Wiscon Milwaukee, Wl			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3632	3632	

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

 		Applicat	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/687,6	88	PETRI ET AL.				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Tan Le		3632				
Ti Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this communic eply	ation appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE MAI - Extension after SIX (- If the peric - If NO peric - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC softime may be available under the provisions of 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communed for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) and for reply is specified above, the maximum stature perion within the set or extended period for reply with received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e- ication. days, a reply within the sta- tory period will apply and v ll, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be tir tutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicat (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.			
Status								
1)⊠ Re	sponsive to communication(s) filed	on 17 October 200	03.					
· <u> </u>	<u> </u>							
3)□ Sin								
clo	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	of Claims							
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)□ Cla 7)□ Cla	oim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the appoint of the above claim(s) is/are sim(s) is/are allowed. sim(s) is/are rejected. sim(s) is/are objected to. sim(s) <u>1-25</u> are subject to restriction	withdrawn from co						
Application	Papers							
10)□ The App Rep	e specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a plicant may not request that any objection of the placement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to be	a) accepted or boon to the drawing(s) ne correction is requi	be held in abeyance. Sec red if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	• •			
•	·	., =						
12) Ack a) Ack 1.[2.[3.[nowledgment is made of a claim for all b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of application from the International	ocuments have be ocuments have be the priority docum al Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive le 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)								
	References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) Informatio	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC-1449 or PT (s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/687,688 Page 2

Art Unit: 3632

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-10, drawn to a container handling apparatus, classified in class
 248, subclass 127.
 - II. Claims 13-20, drawn to a method of reconditioning a container, classified in class 81, subclass 3.4.
 - III. Claims 21-25, drawn to a conveyor assembly, classified in class 141, subclass 39.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions III and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the particulars of the subcombination does not claim in the combination such as a support member configured to be coupled to a conveyor or the retainer configured to engage a container. The subcombination has separate utility such as a hanging clasp type for brush and broom.

Application/Control Number: 10/687,688

Art Unit: 3632

J

3. Inventions II and I & III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus such as the apparatus having a second piece retainer coupled to the support member, or by hand; or the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as the process having only one piece retainer releasably coupled to the support member (claim 1); and the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus such as the apparatus having a second piece retainer coupled to the support member, or by hand; or the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as the process having a mounting member coupled to the conveyor (Claim 21).

Page 3

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

The species of:

Figs. 1-5

Art Unit: 3632

Figs. 6-8

Figs. 9-10

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/687,688 Page 5

Art Unit: 3632

5. A telephone call was made to Mr. Timothy Kelly on September 27, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-8244.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. through Fri. from 9:00AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie Braun can be reached on (703) 308-2156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/687,688 Page 6

Art Unit: 3632

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tan/Le

Patent Examiner September 27, 2004